

Geometric structures, Gromov norm and Kodaira dimensions

Weiye Zhang

University of Warwick

Lanzhou University zoom talk,
February 14, 2025

- 1 Kodaira dimensions
 - Holomorphic Kodaira dimension
 - Symplectic Kodaira dimension
 - Compatibility: additivity
- 2 Kodaira dimension for 3-manifolds
 - Geometric structures
 - Kodaira dimension for 3-manifolds
 - Gromov norm
- 3 Kodaira dimension for higher dimensional manifolds
 - Kodaira dimension for geometric 4-manifolds
 - Einstein 4-manifolds
 - Kodaira dimension for geometric manifolds
 - Complex/symplectic manifolds with nonzero Gromov norm
- 4 Kodaira dimension for almost complex manifolds (Chen-Z.)
 - Definitions
 - Properties

Compact Riemann surfaces

	S^2	T^2	$\Sigma_{g \geq 2}$
Euler number	> 0	0	< 0
Curvature	> 0	0	< 0
Geometry	Spherical	Euclidean	Hyperbolic
Canonical bundle	not effective	trivial	ample
$\dim H^0(\mathcal{K}^{\otimes l})$	0, $l > 0$	1, $l \geq 0$	$(2l-1)(g-1)$, $l \geq 2$
Kodaira dimension	$-\infty$	0	1

Canonical bundle $\mathcal{K} = T^*\Sigma$.

Compact Riemann surfaces

	S^2	T^2	$\Sigma_{g \geq 2}$
Euler number	> 0	0	< 0
Curvature	> 0	0	< 0
Geometry	Spherical	Euclidean	Hyperbolic
Canonical bundle	not effective	trivial	ample
$\dim H^0(\mathcal{K}^{\otimes l})$	$0, l > 0$	$1, l \geq 0$	$(2l-1)(g-1), l \geq 2$
Kodaira dimension	$-\infty$	0	1

Canonical bundle $\mathcal{K} = T^*\Sigma$.

In this talk, all manifolds are closed, smooth and oriented.

Kodaira dimension of complex manifolds

Given an m -dimensional complex manifold (M, J) , we study the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_J = \wedge^m T^*M$ of holomorphic m -forms.

Kodaira dimension of complex manifolds

Given an m -dimensional complex manifold (M, J) , we study the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_J = \wedge^m T^*M$ of holomorphic m -forms.

For any $l > 0$, we have a rational map of M into complex projective space by

$$[s_0, \dots, s_N] : M \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$$

where $s_0, \dots, s_N \in H^0(\mathcal{K}_J^{\otimes l})$ is a basis of the global sections of $\mathcal{K}_J^{\otimes l}$.

Kodaira dimension of complex manifolds

Given an m -dimensional complex manifold (M, J) , we study the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_J = \wedge^m T^*M$ of holomorphic m -forms.

For any $l > 0$, we have a rational map of M into complex projective space by

$$[s_0, \dots, s_N] : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$$

where $s_0, \dots, s_N \in H^0(\mathcal{K}_J^{\otimes l})$ is a basis of the global sections of $\mathcal{K}_J^{\otimes l}$.

The Kodaira dimension is the supremum, as $l \rightarrow \infty$, of the (complex) dimension of the image of M under these maps. It takes values in $\{-\infty, 0, \dots, m\}$.

Equivalent formal definition

Definition

Suppose (M, J) is a complex manifold of real dimension $2m$. The holomorphic Kodaira dimension $\kappa^h(M, J)$ is defined as follows:

$$\kappa^h(M, J) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \dim H^0(\mathcal{K}_J^{\otimes l}) = 0 \text{ for all } l \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \dim H^0(\mathcal{K}_J^{\otimes l}) \in \{0, 1\}, \text{ but } \neq 0 \text{ for all } l \geq 1, \\ k & \text{if } \dim H^0(\mathcal{K}_J^{\otimes l}) \sim cl^k; c > 0. \end{cases}$$

Properties

- κ^h is a birational invariant. In other words, two varieties have the same κ^h if they are isomorphic outside lower-dimensional subvarieties.
But it relies on J in general.

Properties

- κ^h is a birational invariant. In other words, two varieties have the same κ^h if they are isomorphic outside lower-dimensional subvarieties.
But it relies on J in general.
- Friedman-Qin: If (X, J_1) and (X, J_2) are diffeomorphic complex surfaces, then $\kappa^h(X, J_1) = \kappa^h(X, J_2)$.

Properties

- κ^h is a birational invariant. In other words, two varieties have the same κ^h if they are isomorphic outside lower-dimensional subvarieties.

But it relies on J in general.

- Friedman-Qin: If (X, J_1) and (X, J_2) are diffeomorphic complex surfaces, then $\kappa^h(X, J_1) = \kappa^h(X, J_2)$.
- In higher dimensions, there are examples $\kappa^h(X, J_1) \neq \kappa^h(X, J_2)$. For instance, take $(X, J_1) = (M^4 \times \Sigma_{g \geq 2}, J_M \times j)$ and $(X, J_2) = ((M_0 = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# 8\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}) \times \Sigma_{g \geq 2}, J_{M_0} \times j)$, where M^4 is the Barlow surface, an algebraic surface of general type homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to M_0 . Then $\kappa^h(X, J_1) = 3$ and $\kappa^h(X, J_2) = -\infty$.

Complex projective surfaces

$\kappa^h = -\infty$: rational surfaces (birational to complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2$) and ruled surfaces (birational to $\Sigma_g \times S^2$);

Complex projective surfaces

$\kappa^h = -\infty$: rational surfaces (birational to complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$) and ruled surfaces (birational to $\Sigma_g \times S^2$);

$\kappa^h = 0$: K3, Enriques surfaces, hyperelliptic surfaces, abelian variety (topologically, the latter two are T^2 bundles over T^2), and their blow-ups (*i.e.* replacing a point with an $S^2 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ which parametrizes the complex lines of its tangent plane);

Complex projective surfaces

$\kappa^h = -\infty$: rational surfaces (birational to complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$) and ruled surfaces (birational to $\Sigma_g \times S^2$);

$\kappa^h = 0$: K3, Enriques surfaces, hyperelliptic surfaces, abelian variety (topologically, the latter two are T^2 bundles over T^2), and their blow-ups (*i.e.* replacing a point with an $S^2 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ which parametrizes the complex lines of its tangent plane);

$\kappa^h = 1$: Elliptic surfaces, and their blow-ups;

Complex projective surfaces

$\kappa^h = -\infty$: rational surfaces (birational to complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$) and ruled surfaces (birational to $\Sigma_g \times S^2$);

$\kappa^h = 0$: K3, Enriques surfaces, hyperelliptic surfaces, abelian variety (topologically, the latter two are T^2 bundles over T^2), and their blow-ups (*i.e.* replacing a point with an $S^2 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ which parametrizes the complex lines of its tangent plane);

$\kappa^h = 1$: Elliptic surfaces, and their blow-ups;

$\kappa^h = 2$: surfaces of general type.

Complex projective surfaces

$\kappa^h = -\infty$: rational surfaces (birational to complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$) and ruled surfaces (birational to $\Sigma_g \times S^2$);

$\kappa^h = 0$: K3, Enriques surfaces, hyperelliptic surfaces, abelian variety (topologically, the latter two are T^2 bundles over T^2), and their blow-ups (*i.e.* replacing a point with an $S^2 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ which parametrizes the complex lines of its tangent plane);

$\kappa^h = 1$: Elliptic surfaces, and their blow-ups;

$\kappa^h = 2$: surfaces of general type.

Geometric reason:

For rational curves S with $S \cdot S \geq -1$, $\mathcal{K}_J|_S$ doesn't admit sections.

For elliptic curves T with $T \cdot T = 0$, $\mathcal{K}_J|_T$ is trivial.

Symplectic Kodaira dimension for 4-manifolds

Definition (Li, LeBrun, McDuff-Salamon)

For a minimal (*i.e.* no -1 smooth spheres) symplectic 4-manifold (M^4, ω) with symplectic canonical class $K_\omega = c_1(T^*M)$, the Kodaira dimension of (M^4, ω) is defined in the following way:

$$\kappa^S(M^4, \omega) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } K_\omega \cdot [\omega] < 0 \text{ or } K_\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } K_\omega \cdot [\omega] = 0 \text{ and } K_\omega \cdot K_\omega = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } K_\omega \cdot [\omega] > 0 \text{ and } K_\omega \cdot K_\omega = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } K_\omega \cdot [\omega] > 0 \text{ and } K_\omega \cdot K_\omega > 0. \end{cases}$$

The Kodaira dimension of a non-minimal manifold is defined to be that of any of its minimal models.

Properties of Symplectic Kodaira dimension

- K_ω is well defined: the space of almost complex structures tamed by ω is contractible.

Properties of Symplectic Kodaira dimension

- K_ω is well defined: the space of almost complex structures tamed by ω is contractible.
- κ^S is well defined: no $K_\omega \cdot [\omega] = 0$, $K_\omega^2 > 0$; more than one minimal models.

Properties of Symplectic Kodaira dimension

- K_ω is well defined: the space of almost complex structures tamed by ω is contractible.
- κ^S is well defined: no $K_\omega \cdot [\omega] = 0$, $K_\omega^2 > 0$; more than one minimal models.
- κ^S is a smooth invariant. (Taubes)

Properties of Symplectic Kodaira dimension

- K_ω is well defined: the space of almost complex structures tamed by ω is contractible.
- κ^S is well defined: no $K_\omega \cdot [\omega] = 0$, $K_\omega^2 > 0$; more than one minimal models.
- κ^S is a smooth invariant. (Taubes)
- When M^4 admits both complex and symplectic structures, $\kappa^h = \kappa^S$. (Dorfmeister-Z.)

Properties of Symplectic Kodaira dimension

- K_ω is well defined: the space of almost complex structures tamed by ω is contractible.
- κ^S is well defined: no $K_\omega \cdot [\omega] = 0, K_\omega^2 > 0$; more than one minimal models.
- κ^S is a smooth invariant. (Taubes)
- When M^4 admits both complex and symplectic structures, $\kappa^h = \kappa^S$. (Dorfmeister-Z.)
- If $\kappa^S(M) = -\infty$, then M is rational or ruled. (A.-K. Liu)

Properties of Symplectic Kodaira dimension

- K_ω is well defined: the space of almost complex structures tamed by ω is contractible.
- κ^S is well defined: no $K_\omega \cdot [\omega] = 0, K_\omega^2 > 0$; more than one minimal models.
- κ^S is a smooth invariant. (Taubes)
- When M^4 admits both complex and symplectic structures, $\kappa^h = \kappa^S$. (Dorfmeister-Z.)
- If $\kappa^S(M) = -\infty$, then M is rational or ruled. (A.-K. Liu)
- For minimal M , $\kappa^S(M) = 0 \Leftrightarrow K_\omega$ is torsion. And M has the same \mathbb{Q} -homology as a K3, Enriques, or T^2 bundle over T^2 . (Li)

Additivity

We can also define Kodaira dimension for 0D and 1D.

0D: points, $Kod = 0$; 1D: circles, $Kod = 0$.

Philosophy: Given some “fibration/pencil” $f : M \rightarrow N$, then

$$Kod(M) = Kod(N) + Kod(\text{fiber}).$$

The Kodaira dimensions might be a relative one.

Examples

- **Covering.** If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a finite unramified covering, Then $\kappa^h(M) = \kappa^h(N)$ (Ueno), $\kappa^s(M) = \kappa^s(N)$ (Li-Z.).

Examples

- **Covering.** If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a finite unramified covering, Then $\kappa^h(M) = \kappa^h(N)$ (Ueno), $\kappa^s(M) = \kappa^s(N)$ (Li-Z.).
- **Fiber bundle.** When M is a Σ_g bundle over Σ_h , the additivity holds.

Examples

- **Covering.** If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a finite unramified covering, Then $\kappa^h(M) = \kappa^h(N)$ (Ueno), $\kappa^s(M) = \kappa^s(N)$ (Li-Z.).
- **Fiber bundle.** When M is a Σ_g bundle over Σ_h , the additivity holds.
- **Lefschetz fibrations.** Use the relative version (Li-Z.).

Examples

- **Covering.** If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a finite unramified covering, Then $\kappa^h(M) = \kappa^h(N)$ (Ueno), $\kappa^s(M) = \kappa^s(N)$ (Li-Z.).
- **Fiber bundle.** When M is a Σ_g bundle over Σ_h , the additivity holds.
- **Lefschetz fibrations.** Use the relative version (Li-Z.).
- **Maps with non-zero degree.** If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a map of non-zero degree, we should expect $Kod(M) \geq Kod(N)$, *i.e.*, compatible with Gromov's order.

Examples

- **Covering.** If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a finite unramified covering, Then $\kappa^h(M) = \kappa^h(N)$ (Ueno), $\kappa^s(M) = \kappa^s(N)$ (Li-Z.).
- **Fiber bundle.** When M is a Σ_g bundle over Σ_h , the additivity holds.
- **Lefschetz fibrations.** Use the relative version (Li-Z.).
- **Maps with non-zero degree.** If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a map of non-zero degree, we should expect $Kod(M) \geq Kod(N)$, i.e., compatible with Gromov's order.
- Do we have $Kod(M^3 \times S^1) = Kod_3(M^3) + Kod(S^1) = Kod_3(M^3)$?
Need a proper definition of $Kod_3(M^3)$ first.

Geometric structures

Look at the table for dimension 2, the most plausible one to define $Kod_3(M^3)$ is to use the geometric structures *a la* Thurston.

Geometric structures

Look at the table for dimension 2, the most plausible one to define $Kod_3(M^3)$ is to use the geometric structures *a la* Thurston.

This is a modern incarnation of Klein's Erlangen Program.

Geometric structures

Look at the table for dimension 2, the most plausible one to define $Kod_3(M^3)$ is to use the geometric structures *à la* Thurston.

This is a modern incarnation of Klein's Erlangen Program.

A *geometric structure* on a manifold M is a diffeomorphism from M to X/Γ for some model geometry (X, G) , where Γ is a discrete subgroup of G acting freely on X .

Model geometry

- X simply connected smooth manifold;
- Lie group G acts on X transitively and effectively with compact point stabilizers;
- G is maximal among such groups;
- There is at least one M diffeomorphic to X/Γ with finite volume, where Γ is a discrete subgroup of G acting freely on X .

First two conditions guarantee left G -invariant Riemannian metric on X . The third implies $G = \text{Isom}(X)$.

Geometric structures in dimension 3

The fourth condition above implies there are finitely many geometric structures. In fact, in dimension 3, there are 8 geometries. We divide them into 4 classes, called “cat”.

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\infty &: S^3 \text{ and } S^2 \times \mathbb{E}; \\
 0 &: \mathbb{E}^3, \textit{Nil} \text{ and } \textit{Sol}; \\
 1 &: \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}, \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R})}; \\
 \frac{3}{2} &: \mathbb{H}^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Examples

Example of *Nil*: quotient of Heisenberg group by the “integral Heisenberg group”.

Example of *Sol*: mapping torus of an Anosov map of the 2-torus, e.g. the automorphism given by $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Examples of $\widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{R})}$: unit tangent bundle of $\Sigma_{g \geq 2}$; Brieskorn homology spheres $\{x^p + y^q + z^r = 0\} \cap S^5$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$.

Geometrization

To define the Kodaira dimension for a general 3-manifold, we need to use Thurston's geometrization.

Theorem (Kneser-Milnor)

Every compact, orientable 3-manifold can be decomposed into the connected sum of a unique (finite) collection of prime 3-manifolds.

Geometrization

To define the Kodaira dimension for a general 3-manifold, we need to use Thurston's geometrization.

Theorem (Kneser-Milnor)

Every compact, orientable 3-manifold can be decomposed into the connected sum of a unique (finite) collection of prime 3-manifolds.

Theorem (Perelman, Hamilton)

Every oriented prime closed 3-manifold can be cut along tori, so that the interior of each of the resulting manifolds has a geometric structure with finite volume.

So start with any closed 3-manifold, we can decompose along spheres and tori such that each resulting piece is geometric.

Definition of $\kappa^t(M^3)$

Definition (and Proposition)

$\kappa^t(M^3) = \max_i \{ \text{cat}(M_i) \mid M_i \text{ are geometric pieces} \}$ is well defined.

We have to show the well definedness since the decomposition might not be unique.

Definition of $\kappa^t(M^3)$

Definition (and Proposition)

$\kappa^t(M^3) = \max_i \{ \text{cat}(M_i) \mid M_i \text{ are geometric pieces} \}$ is well defined.

We have to show the well definedness since the decomposition might not be unique. The key is

Theorem (Thurston)

Non-closed 3-dimensional geometric manifolds with finite volume exist only for geometries in category 1 or $\frac{3}{2}$, i.e. \mathbb{H}^3 , $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}$ and $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition of Kod_3 and virtual Betti number

We define

$$Kod_3(M^3) := [\kappa^t(M^3)].$$

Definition of Kod_3 and virtual Betti number

We define

$$Kod_3(M^3) := [\kappa^t(M^3)].$$

We define the virtual Betti number

$$vb_1(M) := \sup\{b_1(\tilde{M}) \mid \tilde{M} \text{ is a finite covering of } M\}.$$

Then for irreducible 3-manifolds, there is a numerical characterization of $\kappa^t(M^3)$:

$$Kod_3(M^3) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{when } vb_1 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{when } vb_1 \text{ is finite and positive,} \\ 1 & \text{when } vb_1 \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

Compatibility

- When $M^3 \times S^1$ is complex/symplectic, M is a Σ_g bundle over S^1 (Friedl-Vidussi, Etinger). Then it is easy to check $Kod_3(M^3) = [\kappa^t(M^3)] = Kod(M^3 \times S^1)$.
- It is also compatible with 2-d, 1-d Kodaira dimension in the sense of additivity.
- It is invariant under unramified covering.

Compatibility

- When $M^3 \times S^1$ is complex/symplectic, M is a Σ_g bundle over S^1 (Friedl-Vidussi, Etgü). Then it is easy to check $Kod_3(M^3) = [\kappa^t(M^3)] = Kod(M^3 \times S^1)$.
- It is also compatible with 2-d, 1-d Kodaira dimension in the sense of additivity.
- It is invariant under unramified covering.

Furthermore, we have

Theorem

If $f : M^3 \rightarrow N^3$ is a non-zero degree map, then $\kappa^t(M) \geq \kappa^t(N)$.

One key point: geometric manifolds are determined by their fundamental groups.

Definition of Gromov norm

To show \mathbb{H}^3 is the largest, we use Gromov norm.

Let $|\cdot|_1 : C_k(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the l^1 norm on real singular chains: for $z = \sum c_i \sigma_i \in C_k(M; \mathbb{R})$,

$$|z|_1 := \sum |c_i|.$$

Then the *Gromov norm* is

$$\|M\| := \inf \{ |z|_1 \mid [z] = [M] \} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

Basic properties

- By definition, $\|M\| \geq \deg(f)\|N\|$, if $f : M^n \rightarrow N^n$.
So S^2, T^2 have $\|M\| = 0$, since they have self-map of degree ≥ 2 .

Basic properties

- By definition, $\|M\| \geq \deg(f)\|N\|$, if $f : M^n \rightarrow N^n$.
So S^2, T^2 have $\|M\| = 0$, since they have self-map of degree ≥ 2 .
- For hyperbolic manifold M^n , $\|M\| = \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{v_n} > 0$, where $v_n = \text{Vol}(\text{regular ideal simplex})$, e.g. $v_2 = \pi$.

Basic properties

- By definition, $\|M\| \geq \deg(f)\|N\|$, if $f : M^n \rightarrow N^n$.
So S^2, T^2 have $\|M\| = 0$, since they have self-map of degree ≥ 2 .
- For hyperbolic manifold M^n , $\|M\| = \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{v_n} > 0$, where $v_n = \text{Vol}(\text{regular ideal simplex})$, e.g. $v_2 = \pi$.
- **Gluing.** The Gromov norm is additive when gluing along spheres or tori.

More vanishing results

- If M admits an S^1 action.
- If the fundamental group is amenable (e.g. nilpotent, solvable, abelian, finite, ...).

More vanishing results

- If M admits an S^1 action.
- If the fundamental group is amenable (e.g. nilpotent, solvable, abelian, finite, ...).

These two properties imply that 7 geometries with $cat \leq 1$ have vanishing Gromov norm.

\Rightarrow A 3-manifold has vanishing Gromov norm if and only if it is a graph manifold.

More vanishing results

- If M admits an S^1 action.
- If the fundamental group is amenable (e.g. nilpotent, solvable, abelian, finite, ...).

These two properties imply that 7 geometries with $cat \leq 1$ have vanishing Gromov norm.

\Rightarrow A 3-manifold has vanishing Gromov norm if and only if it is a graph manifold.

All the above imply

Lemma

If $f : M^3 \rightarrow N^3$ with $\deg f \neq 0$, then $\kappa^t(N) = 1.5$ implies $\kappa^t(M) = 1.5$.

19 geometries in dimension 4

The classification of geometric structures in dimension 4 due to Filipkiewicz (Warwick thesis)

- $-\infty$: $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$, S^4 , $S^3 \times \mathbb{E}$, $S^2 \times S^2$, $S^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$, $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$, Sol_0^4 and Sol_1^4 ;
- 0: \mathbb{E}^4 , Nil^4 , $Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}$ and $Sol_{m,n}^4$ (including $Sol^3 \times \mathbb{E}$);
- 1: $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$, $\widetilde{SL}_2 \times \mathbb{E}$, and F^4 ;
- $\frac{3}{2}$: $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}$;
- 2: $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ and \mathbb{H}^4 .

Here F^4 admits no compact model.

19 geometries in dimension 4

The classification of geometric structures in dimension 4 due to Filipkiewicz (Warwick thesis)

- $-\infty$: $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$, S^4 , $S^3 \times \mathbb{E}$, $S^2 \times S^2$, $S^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$, $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$, Sol_0^4 and Sol_1^4 ;
- 0 : \mathbb{E}^4 , Nil^4 , $Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}$ and $Sol_{m,n}^4$ (including $Sol^3 \times \mathbb{E}$);
- 1 : $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$, $\widetilde{SL}_2 \times \mathbb{E}$, and F^4 ;
- $\frac{3}{2}$: $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}$;
- 2 : $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ and \mathbb{H}^4 .

Here F^4 admits no compact model.

Definition

Let M^4 be a 4-dimensional geometric manifold. The Kodaira dimension $\kappa^g(M)$ is defined to be the category number of M .

Geometric manifolds of $[\kappa^g] = 1$

Theorem

A closed geometric 4-manifold with $[\kappa^g] = 1$ admits a foliation by geodesic circles.

Geometric manifolds of $[\kappa^g] = 1$

Theorem

A closed geometric 4-manifold with $[\kappa^g] = 1$ admits a foliation by geodesic circles.

Wadsley (another Warwick thesis): A double cover of such a manifold admits a non trivial S^1 action. In particular, it has vanishing Gromov norm.

Geometric manifolds of $[\kappa^g] = 1$

Theorem

A closed geometric 4-manifold with $[\kappa^g] = 1$ admits a foliation by geodesic circles.

Wadsley (another Warwick thesis): A double cover of such a manifold admits a non trivial S^1 action. In particular, it has vanishing Gromov norm.

A geometric manifold with $\kappa^g = -\infty$ or 0 has amenable fundamental group or admits a non trivial S^1 action. In particular, it has vanishing Gromov norm.

Geometric manifolds of $\kappa^g = 2$

Corollary

A closed geometric 4-manifold has nonzero Gromov norm if and only if $\kappa^g = 2$.

Geometric manifolds of $\kappa^g = 2$

Corollary

A closed geometric 4-manifold has nonzero Gromov norm if and only if $\kappa^g = 2$.

The “if” part of the above corollary follows from

- \mathbb{H}^4 : $\|M\| = \frac{1}{v_4} \text{Vol}(M)$ (Gromov-Thurston)
- $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$: $\|M\| = \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \text{Vol}(M)$. (Bucher-Karlsson)
- $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C}) = SU(2,1)/S(U(2) \times U(1))$ is a closed oriented locally symmetric space of non-compact type, then $\|M\| > 0$ (Lafont-Schmidt).

Mapping order of Geometric 4-manifolds

It is easy to see that κ^g is preserved under finite covering.
Similar to the result for monotonicity of κ^t for 3-manifolds, we have

Theorem (Neofytidis)

If $f : M^4 \rightarrow N^4$ is a map of non-zero degree between closed geometric 4-manifolds, then $\kappa^g(M) \geq \kappa^g(N)$.

Hitchin-Thorpe

As suggested by the solution of geometrization conjecture by Ricci flow, building blocks of 4-manifolds should consist of Einstein manifolds and **collapsed pieces**. Einstein 4-manifolds are far more complicated than Einstein 3-manifolds. However, we have the following Hitchin-Thorpe theorem.

Theorem (Hitchin-Thorpe)

Any compact oriented Einstein 4-manifold (M, g) satisfies $2\chi + 3\sigma \geq 0$. The equality holds if and only if (M, g) is finitely covered by a Calabi-Yau K3 surface or by a 4-torus.

No symplectic/complex Einstein manifolds with $Kod = 1$

If there is an almost complex structure J , then $2\chi + 3\sigma = K^2$.

No symplectic/complex Einstein manifolds with $Kod = 1$

If there is an almost complex structure J , then $2\chi + 3\sigma = K^2$.

For symplectic/complex 4-manifolds with $Kod = 1$, we have $K^2 \leq 0$ since blow-up reduces K^2 . By Hitchin-Thorpe, such Einstein ones have $K^2 = 0$, which holds for $Kod = 0$.

No symplectic/complex Einstein manifolds with $Kod = 1$

If there is an almost complex structure J , then $2\chi + 3\sigma = K^2$.

For symplectic/complex 4-manifolds with $Kod = 1$, we have $K^2 \leq 0$ since blow-up reduces K^2 . By Hitchin-Thorpe, such Einstein ones have $K^2 = 0$, which holds for $Kod = 0$.

Hence there is **no** symplectic/complex Einstein manifolds with $Kod = 1$.

This suggests contributions from Kodaira dimension 1 are all from collapsed pieces. All from Model Geometries?

Geometric 5-manifolds (Neofytidis-Z.)

- Geng classifies 5-dimensional geometries. There exist 58 geometries, and 54 of them are realized by compact manifolds.

Geometric 5-manifolds (Neofytidis-Z.)

- Geng classifies 5-dimensional geometries. There exist 58 geometries, and 54 of them are realized by compact manifolds.
- We can define κ^g for them. It takes values $-\infty, 0, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}$.

Geometric 5-manifolds (Neofytidis-Z.)

- Geng classifies 5-dimensional geometries. There exist 58 geometries, and 54 of them are realized by compact manifolds.
- We can define κ^g for them. It takes values $-\infty, 0, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}$.
- It coincides with Gromov order. That is, if $f : M^4 \rightarrow N^4$ is a map of non-zero degree between closed geometric 4-manifolds, then $\kappa^g(M) \geq \kappa^g(N)$.

Geometric 5-manifolds (Neofytidis-Z.)

- Geng classifies 5-dimensional geometries. There exist 58 geometries, and 54 of them are realized by compact manifolds.
- We can define κ^g for them. It takes values $-\infty, 0, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}$.
- It coincides with Gromov order. That is, if $f : M^4 \rightarrow N^4$ is a map of non-zero degree between closed geometric 4-manifolds, then $\kappa^g(M) \geq \kappa^g(N)$.
- A closed geometric 5-manifold has nonzero Gromov norm if and only if $\kappa^g = \frac{5}{2}$, i.e., when M is modeled on \mathbb{H}^5 , $SL(3, \mathbb{R})/SO(3)$ or $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{H}^2$.

Higher dimensional geometric manifolds

A complete connected Riemannian manifold M is a *locally symmetric space of non-compact type* if it is diffeomorphic to $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ where G is a centerless semisimple Lie group, K is a maximal compact subgroup in G , and Γ is a discrete subgroup in G that acts freely on G/K via left action.

Theorem (P. H. How)

Let M be a geometric manifold. Then $\|M\| > 0$ if and only if M is a locally symmetric space of non-compact type.

We can merely assume M is a closed topological manifold that admits a smooth structure with a locally homogeneous Riemannian metric.

Some questions

- Let M be a smooth $2n$ -dimensional complex manifold with nonvanishing Gromov norm. Is $\kappa^h(M) = n$?

Some questions

- Let M be a smooth $2n$ -dimensional complex manifold with nonvanishing Gromov norm. Is $\kappa^h(M) = n$?
 - Let M be a smooth 4-dimensional symplectic manifold with nonvanishing Gromov norm. Is $\kappa^s(M) = 2$?
- This is true for Kähler surfaces.

Some questions

- Let M be a smooth $2n$ -dimensional complex manifold with nonvanishing Gromov norm. Is $\kappa^h(M) = n$?
- Let M be a smooth 4-dimensional symplectic manifold with nonvanishing Gromov norm. Is $\kappa^s(M) = 2$?
This is true for Kähler surfaces.
- Suppose that (M_1, ω_1) and (M_2, ω_2) are symplectic 4-manifolds and almost complex structures J_i are tamed by ω_i . If f is a (J_1, J_2) -pseudo-holomorphic map (i.e. $f \circ J_1 = J_2 \circ f$) of non-zero degree from (M_1, ω_1) to (M_2, ω_2) , is $\kappa^s(M_1, \omega_1) \geq \kappa^s(M_2, \omega_2)$?
Note: If there is a holomorphic map of non-zero degree from (M_1, J_1) to (M_2, J_2) , then $\kappa^h(M_1, J_1) \geq \kappa^h(M_2, J_2)$.

Answer to Question 1: Kähler 3-folds

We have a satisfactory answer to above Question 1: Let M be a smooth $2n$ -dimensional complex manifold with nonvanishing Gromov norm. Is $\kappa^h(M) = n$?

Theorem (Neofytidis-Z.)

If X is a smooth Kähler 3-fold with non-vanishing Gromov norm, then $\kappa^h(X) = 3$.

We can reduce it to projective manifolds, since for any compact Kähler manifold X of complex dimension three, there exists a bimeromorphic Kähler manifold X' which is deformation equivalent to a projective manifold.

Answer to Question 1: Projective manifolds

It is based on the following facts

- **Gromov norm is a birational invariant.** That is, birationally equivalent smooth projective varieties (resp. bimeromorphic smooth Kähler manifolds) have the same Gromov norm.
- **Any uniruled manifold has vanishing Gromov norm.**

and two conjectures, proved in dimension ≤ 3 :

Conjecture

- 1 (Mumford) *A smooth projective variety with $\kappa^h = -\infty$ is uniruled.*
- 2 (Kollár) *Let X be a smooth projective variety with $\kappa^h(X) = 0$. Then $\pi_1(X)$ has a finite index Abelian subgroup.*

Answer to Question 1: Projective manifolds (cont.)

Theorem (Neofytidis-Z.)

- *Up to Kollár's Conjecture, any smooth $2n$ -dimensional complex projective variety M with $\kappa^h(M) \geq 0$ and $\|M\| > 0$ has $\kappa^h(M) = n$.*
- *Further assuming Mumford's Conjecture, any smooth projective variety with non-vanishing Gromov norm is of general type.*

Corollary

Let M be a smooth complex projective n -fold with non-vanishing simplicial volume. Then $\kappa^h(M)$ cannot be $n - 1$, $n - 2$ or $n - 3$. If, moreover, $n = 3$, then $\kappa^h(M) = 3$.

Yamabe invariant

$$Y(M) = \sup_{[\hat{g}] \in \mathcal{C}} \inf_{g \in [\hat{g}]} \int_M s_g dV_g,$$

where g is a Riemannian metric on M , s_g is the scalar curvature of g , and \mathcal{C} is the set of conformal classes on M .

Question (LeBrun)

If M^4 admits a symplectic structure and $Y(M^4) < 0$, is $\kappa^S(M^4) = 2$?

- For minimal M^4 , $\kappa^S(M^4) = 2$ would imply $Y(M^4) < 0$.
- It is true for Kähler surfaces.
- F-structure $\Rightarrow Y(M^4) \geq 0$. $Y(M) > 0$ if and only if M has positive scalar curvature.

Pluricanonical genus

We can generalize the two (equivalent) definitions of complex Kodaira dimension to almost complex manifolds.

We still have $\mathcal{K} = \Lambda^{n,0}$, but it is no longer holomorphic. However, we have $\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n,1} \cong T^{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. and it can be extended to an operator $\bar{\partial}_m : \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow T^{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m}$ for $m \geq 2$ inductively by Leibniz rule.

We still have the pluricanonical genus

$$P_m(X, J) = H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m}) = \{s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m}) : \bar{\partial}_m s = 0\}.$$

Proposition

$H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m})$ is finite dimensional.

Kodaira dimension $\kappa^J(X)$

Definition

The Kodaira dimension $\kappa^J(X)$ of (X, J) is defined as:

$$\kappa^J(X) = \begin{cases} -\infty, & \text{if } P_m = 0 \text{ for any } m \geq 0 \\ \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_m}{\log m}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Kodaira dimension $\kappa_J(X)$

We can still define the pluricanonical map by

$$\Phi_m(x) = [s_0(x) : \cdots : s_N(x)],$$

where s_i are a basis of $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m})$.

Theorem

Φ_m is a pseudoholomorphic map and the image is a projective subvariety of $\mathbb{C}P^N$.

Kodaira dimension $\kappa_J(X)$

We can still define the pluricanonical map by

$$\Phi_m(x) = [s_0(x) : \cdots : s_N(x)],$$

where s_i are a basis of $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m})$.

Theorem

Φ_m is a pseudoholomorphic map and the image is a projective subvariety of $\mathbb{C}P^N$.

Definition

The Kodaira dimension $\kappa_J(X)$ of (X, J) is defined as:

$$\kappa_J(X) = \begin{cases} -\infty, & \text{if } P_m = 0 \text{ for any } m \geq 0 \\ \max \dim \Phi_m, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Properties

We only summarize the results for almost complex 4-manifolds.

- $\kappa_J = \kappa^J$. When J is integrable, they are equal to κ^h .

Properties

We only summarize the results for almost complex 4-manifolds.

- $\kappa_J = \kappa^J$. When J is integrable, they are equal to κ^h .
- κ^J is a birational invariant, *i.e.* if $u: (X, J) \rightarrow (Y, J_Y)$ is a degree 1 pseudoholomorphic map. Then $\kappa^J(X) = \kappa^{J_Y}(Y)$.

Properties

We only summarize the results for almost complex 4-manifolds.

- $\kappa_J = \kappa^J$. When J is integrable, they are equal to κ^h .
- κ^J is a birational invariant, *i.e.* if $u : (X, J) \rightarrow (Y, J_Y)$ is a degree 1 pseudoholomorphic map. Then $\kappa^J(X) = \kappa^{J_Y}(Y)$.
- κ^J is not a deformation invariant, hence not a smooth invariant.

Properties

We only summarize the results for almost complex 4-manifolds.

- $\kappa_J = \kappa^J$. When J is integrable, they are equal to κ^h .
- κ^J is a birational invariant, *i.e.* if $u: (X, J) \rightarrow (Y, J_Y)$ is a degree 1 pseudoholomorphic map. Then $\kappa^J(X) = \kappa^{J_Y}(Y)$.
- κ^J is not a deformation invariant, hence not a smooth invariant.
- $\kappa^J = -\infty$ or 0 , if J is not integrable.

Properties

We only summarize the results for almost complex 4-manifolds.

- $\kappa_J = \kappa^J$. When J is integrable, they are equal to κ^h .
- κ^J is a birational invariant, *i.e.* if $u : (X, J) \rightarrow (Y, J_Y)$ is a degree 1 pseudoholomorphic map. Then $\kappa^J(X) = \kappa^{J_Y}(Y)$.
- κ^J is not a deformation invariant, hence not a smooth invariant.
- $\kappa^J = -\infty$ or 0 , if J is not integrable.
- We have similar generalizations of Iitaka dimension.

Thanks very much for your attention !